

THE CENTER OF A QUANTIZED ENVELOPING ALGEBRA AT AN EVEN ROOT OF UNITY

TOSHIYUKI TANISAKI

ABSTRACT. We will give an explicit description of the center of the De Concini-Kac type specialization of a quantized enveloping algebra at an even root of unity. The case of an odd root of unity was already dealt with by De Concini-Procesi. Our description in the even case is similar to but a little more complicated than the odd case.

1. INTRODUCTION

The representation theory of the De Concini-Kac type specialization of a quantized enveloping algebra at a root of unity was initiated by De Concini-Kac [4]. It is quite different from and much more complicated than the generic parameter case. A special feature at a root of unity is that the center of the quantized enveloping algebra becomes much larger than the generic parameter case. An explicit description of the center of the De Concini-Kac type specialization at a root of unity was given by De Concini-Procesi [5] when the order of the root of unity is odd. In this paper we give a similar description of the center in the even order case. We point out that there already exists partial results in the even order case in Beck [2].

Let $U_q = U_q(\Delta)$ be the simply-connected quantized enveloping algebra associated to a finite irreducible root system Δ (the Cartan part is isomorphic to the group algebra of the weight lattice). For $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ we denote by $U_z = U_z(\Delta)$ the specialization at $q = z$ of the De Concini-Procesi form of U_q . Set $d = 1$ (resp. 2, resp. 3) when Δ is of type A, D, E (resp. B, C, F , resp. G_2). We note that U_z coincides with the specialization of the more standard De Concini-Kac form if $z^{2d} \neq 1$. Let ℓ be a positive integer, and let $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a primitive ℓ -th root of 1. We assume that the order of ζ^2 is greater than d .

Assume that ℓ is odd. If Δ is of type G_2 , we also assume that ℓ is prime to 3. In this case De Concini-Procesi [5] gave an explicit description of the center $Z(U_\zeta)$ as explained in the following. Denote by $Z_{\text{Har}}(U_\zeta)$ the subalgebra of $Z(U_\zeta)$ consisting of reductions of central

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 20G42, 17B37.

Key words and Phrases: Quantized enveloping algebra, Center.

The author was partially supported by the Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) No. 24540026 from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

elements of U_q contained in the De Concini-Procesi form. Then we have a Harish-Chandra type isomorphism $Z_{\text{Har}}(U_\zeta) \cong \mathbb{C}[2P]^W$, where P is the weight lattice, W is the Weyl group, and the action of W on the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[2P]$ is a twisted one. On the other hand we have a Frobenius homomorphism $F : U_1 \rightarrow U_\zeta$, which is an injective Hopf algebra homomorphism whose image is contained in $Z(U_\zeta)$. Set $Z_{\text{Fr}}(U_\zeta) = \text{Im}(F)$. Then De Concini-Procesi proved that the canonical homomorphism

$$Z_{\text{Fr}}(U_\zeta) \otimes_{Z_{\text{Fr}}(U_\zeta) \cap Z_{\text{Har}}(U_\zeta)} Z_{\text{Har}}(U_\zeta) \rightarrow Z(U_\zeta)$$

is an isomorphism. They have also given the following geometric description of $Z(U_\zeta)$. Denote by G the connected simply-connected simple algebraic group over $\mathbb{C}$ with root system Δ . Take Borel subgroups B^+ and B^- of G such that $B^+ \cap B^-$ is a maximal torus of G . We set $H = H(\Delta) = B^+ \cap B^-$. Denote by $N^\pm$ the unipotent radical of $B^\pm$. Define a subgroup $K = K(\Delta)$ of $B^+ \times B^-$ by

$$K = \{(tx, t^{-1}y) \in B^+ \times B^- \mid t \in H, x \in N^+, y \in N^-\}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\text{Fr}}(U_\zeta) &\cong U_1 \cong \mathbb{C}[K], & Z_{\text{Har}}(U_\zeta) &\cong \mathbb{C}[H/W], \\ Z_{\text{Fr}}(U_\zeta) \cap Z_{\text{Har}}(U_\zeta) &\cong \mathbb{C}[H/W], \end{aligned}$$

and the morphisms $K \rightarrow H/W$, $H/W \rightarrow H/W$ corresponding to the embeddings $Z_{\text{Fr}}(U_\zeta) \cap Z_{\text{Har}}(U_\zeta) \subset Z_{\text{Fr}}(U_\zeta)$ and $Z_{\text{Fr}}(U_\zeta) \cap Z_{\text{Har}}(U_\zeta) \subset Z_{\text{Har}}(U_\zeta)$ are given by $(g_1, g_2) \mapsto \text{Ad}(G)((g_1 g_2^{-1})_s) \cap H$, and $[t] \mapsto [t^\ell]$, respectively. Here, g_s for $g \in G$ denotes the semisimple part of g in its Jordan decomposition. In conclusion, we obtain

$$Z(U_\zeta) \cong \mathbb{C}[K \times_{H/W} H/W].$$

Now assume that ℓ is even, or ℓ is odd and a multiple of 3 if Δ is of type G_2 . We can similarly define $Z_{\text{Har}}(U_\zeta(\Delta))$ as a subalgebra of $Z(U_\zeta(\Delta))$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}[2P]^W \cong \mathbb{C}[H(\Delta)/W]$. However, it is a more delicate problem to define $Z_{\text{Fr}}(U_\zeta(\Delta))$. We have an injective Hopf algebra homomorphism $F : U_\varepsilon(\Delta') \rightarrow U_\zeta(\Delta)$, where $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$, $\Delta' \in \{\Delta, \Delta^\vee\}$ are determined from Δ and ℓ . Here, $\Delta^\vee$ denotes the set of coroots. This F is a dual version of the Frobenius homomorphism for the Lusztig forms defined in [13]. In the case Δ is of type G_2 and ℓ is an odd multiple of 3 we have $\varepsilon = 1$, $\Delta' = \Delta^\vee$ and $\text{Im}(F) \subset Z(U_\zeta(\Delta))$. In the case ℓ is even and $\varepsilon = 1$, $U_1(\Delta')$ is commutative, but $\text{Im}(F)$ is not a subalgebra of $Z(U_\zeta(\Delta))$. In the case $\varepsilon = -1$ $U_{-1}(\Delta')$ is non-commutative. We define $Z_{\text{Fr}}(U_\zeta(\Delta))$ to be the intersection $\text{Im}(F) \cap Z(U_\zeta(\Delta))$. Then the conclusion is similar to the odd order case. Namely, the canonical homomorphism

$$Z_{\text{Fr}}(U_\zeta(\Delta)) \otimes_{Z_{\text{Fr}}(U_\zeta(\Delta)) \cap Z_{\text{Har}}(U_\zeta(\Delta))} Z_{\text{Har}}(U_\zeta(\Delta)) \rightarrow Z(U_\zeta(\Delta))$$

turns out to be an isomorphism. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\text{Fr}}(U_\zeta(\Delta)) &\cong \mathbb{C}[K(\Delta')/\Gamma], & Z_{\text{Har}}(U_\zeta(\Delta)) &\cong \mathbb{C}[H(\Delta)/W], \\ Z_{\text{Fr}}(U_\zeta(\Delta)) \cap Z_{\text{Har}}(U_\zeta(\Delta)) &\cong \mathbb{C}[H(\Delta')/W], \end{aligned}$$

where, Γ is a certain finite group acting on the algebraic variety $K(\Delta')$, and the morphism $K(\Delta')/\Gamma \rightarrow H(\Delta')/W$ is induced by $K(\Delta') \rightarrow H(\Delta')/W$. The definition of $H(\Delta')/W \rightarrow H(\Delta)/W$ is more involved and omitted here. In conclusion, we obtain

$$Z(U_\zeta(\Delta)) \cong \mathbb{C}[(K(\Delta')/\Gamma) \times_{H(\Delta')/W} H(\Delta)/W].$$

The proof is partially similar to that for the odd order case in De Concini-Procesi [5]. However, some arguments are simplified using certain bilinear forms arising from the Drinfeld pairing. We also note that we have avoided the usage of quantum coadjoint orbits in this paper. We hope to investigate the quantum coadjoint orbits in the even order case in the near future since they should be indispensable in developing the representation theory.

In dealing with the case $\varepsilon = -1$ we use $U_{-1}(\Delta')^\Gamma \cong U_1(\Delta')^\Gamma$. We establish it using a result of [9] relating U_{-q} with U_q . I would like to thank Masaki Kashiwara for explaining it to me.

2. QUANTIZED ENVELOPING ALGEBRAS

2.1. Let Δ be a (finite) reduced irreducible root system in a vector space $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{Q}^*$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ (we assume that $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{Q}^*$ is spanned by the elements of Δ). We denote by W the Weyl group. We fix a W -invariant positive definite symmetric bilinear form

$$(2.1) \quad (\cdot, \cdot) : \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{Q}^* \times \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{Q}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}.$$

For $\alpha \in \Delta$ we set $\alpha^\vee = 2\alpha/(\alpha, \alpha) \in \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{Q}^*$. Then $\Delta^\vee = \{\alpha^\vee \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is also an irreducible root system in a vector space $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{Q}^*$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathbb{Z}\alpha, & Q^\vee &= \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathbb{Z}\alpha^\vee, \\ P &= \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{Q}^* \mid (\lambda, \alpha^\vee) \in \mathbb{Z} \ (\alpha \in \Delta)\}, \\ P^\vee &= \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{Q}^* \mid (\lambda, \alpha) \in \mathbb{Z} \ (\alpha \in \Delta)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Take a set $\Pi = \{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I}$ of simple roots of Δ , and denote by Δ^+ the corresponding set of positive roots of Δ . Then $\Pi^\vee = \{\alpha_i^\vee\}_{i \in I}$ is a set of simple roots of $\Delta^\vee$, and $\Delta^{\vee+} = \{\alpha^\vee \mid \alpha \in \Delta^+\}$ is the corresponding set of positive roots of $\Delta^\vee$. We set

$$\begin{aligned} Q^+ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha, \\ P^+ &= \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{Q}^* \mid (\lambda, \alpha^\vee) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \ (\alpha \in \Delta^+)\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $i \in I$ let $s_i \in W$ be the corresponding simple reflection. We denote by Δ_{short} (resp. Δ_{long}) the set of short (resp. long) roots. In our convention we have $\Delta_{\text{short}} = \Delta_{\text{long}} = \Delta$ if Δ is of type A, D, E . We set

$$d = \frac{(\alpha, \alpha)}{(\beta, \beta)} \quad (\alpha \in \Delta_{\text{long}}, \beta \in \Delta_{\text{short}}),$$

$$d_\alpha = \frac{(\alpha, \alpha)}{(\beta, \beta)} \quad (\alpha \in \Delta, \beta \in \Delta_{\text{short}}), \quad d_i = d_{\alpha_i} \quad (i \in I).$$

Define $\rho \in P \cap \frac{1}{2}Q$ by $(\rho, \alpha_i^\vee) = 1$ ($i \in I$). Define $\tilde{\rho} \in \frac{1}{2}Q^\vee$ by $\tilde{\rho} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} d_\alpha \alpha^\vee$. We have $\rho = \frac{(\alpha, \alpha)}{2} \tilde{\rho}$ for $\alpha \in \Delta_{\text{short}}$.

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ we set

$$[n]_t = \frac{t^n - t^{-n}}{t - t^{-1}} \in \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}], \quad [n]_t! = [n]_t [n]_{t^{-1}} \cdots [1]_t \in \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}].$$

2.2. Let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}(q)$ be the rational function field in the variable q , and set

$$q_\alpha = q^{d_\alpha} \quad (\alpha \in \Delta), \quad q_i = q_{\alpha_i} \quad (i \in I).$$

We denote by $U = U(\Delta)$ the corresponding simply-connected quantized enveloping algebra over $\mathbb{F}$, i.e., U is an associative algebra over $\mathbb{F}$ generated by the elements k_λ ($\lambda \in P$), e_i, f_i ($i \in I$) satisfying the fundamental relations

$$\begin{aligned} k_0 &= 1, \quad k_\lambda k_\mu = k_{\lambda+\mu} \quad (\lambda, \mu \in P), \\ k_\lambda e_i k_\lambda^{-1} &= q_i^{(\lambda, \alpha_i^\vee)} e_i \quad (\lambda \in P, i \in I), \\ k_\lambda f_i k_\lambda^{-1} &= q_i^{-(\lambda, \alpha_i^\vee)} f_i \quad (\lambda \in P, i \in I), \\ e_i f_j - f_j e_i &= \delta_{ij} (k_i - k_i^{-1}) / (q_i - q_i^{-1}) \quad (i, j \in I), \\ \sum_{n=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^n e_i^{(1-a_{ij}-n)} e_j e_i^{(n)} &= 0 \quad (i, j \in I, i \neq j), \\ \sum_{n=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^n f_i^{(1-a_{ij}-n)} f_j f_i^{(n)} &= 0 \quad (i, j \in I, i \neq j), \end{aligned}$$

where $k_i = k_{\alpha_i}$ ($i \in I$), $a_{ij} = (\alpha_i^\vee, \alpha_j)$ ($i, j \in I$), $e_i^{(n)} = e_i^n / [n]_{q_i}!$, $f_i^{(n)} = f_i^n / [n]_{q_i}!$ ($i \in I, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$). Note that the above definition of $U(\Delta)$ does not depend on the choice of the symmetric bilinear form $(\ , \)$.

We regard U as a Hopf algebra by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(k_\lambda) &= k_\lambda \otimes k_\lambda \quad (\lambda \in P), \\ \Delta(e_i) &= e_i \otimes 1 + k_i \otimes e_i, \quad \Delta(f_i) = f_i \otimes k_i^{-1} + 1 \otimes f_i \quad (i \in I), \\ \varepsilon(k_\lambda) &= 1 \quad (\lambda \in P), \quad \varepsilon(e_i) = \varepsilon(f_i) = 0 \quad (i \in I), \\ S(k_\lambda) &= k_\lambda^{-1} \quad (\lambda \in P), \quad S(e_i) = -k_i^{-1} e_i, \quad S(f_i) = -f_i k_i \quad (i \in I). \end{aligned}$$

Define subalgebras $U^0, U^+, U^-, U^{\geq 0}, U^{\leq 0}$ of U by

$$\begin{aligned} U^0 &= \langle k_\lambda \mid \lambda \in P \rangle, & U^+ &= \langle e_i \mid i \in I \rangle, & U^- &= \langle f_i \mid i \in I \rangle, \\ U^{\geq 0} &= \langle k_\lambda, e_i \mid \lambda \in P, i \in I \rangle, & U^{\leq 0} &= \langle k_\lambda, f_i \mid \lambda \in P, i \in I \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We have $U^0 = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P} \mathbb{F}k_\lambda$, and the multiplication of U induces isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} U^+ \otimes U^0 \otimes U^- &\cong U^- \otimes U^0 \otimes U^+ \cong U, \\ U^+ \otimes U^0 &\cong U^0 \otimes U^+ \cong U^{\geq 0}, & U^- \otimes U^0 &\cong U^0 \otimes U^- \cong U^{\leq 0} \end{aligned}$$

of vector spaces.

We denote by U_{ad} the $\mathbb{F}$ -subalgebra of U generated by k_λ ($\lambda \in Q$), e_i, f_i ($i \in I$). We also set

$$\begin{aligned} U_{\text{ad}}^0 &= \langle k_\lambda \mid \lambda \in Q \rangle, \\ U_{\text{ad}}^{\geq 0} &= \langle k_\lambda, e_i \mid \lambda \in Q, i \in I \rangle, & U_{\text{ad}}^{\leq 0} &= \langle k_\lambda, f_i \mid \lambda \in Q, i \in I \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} U^+ \otimes U_{\text{ad}}^0 \otimes U^- &\cong U^- \otimes U_{\text{ad}}^0 \otimes U^+ \cong U_{\text{ad}}, \\ U^+ \otimes U_{\text{ad}}^0 &\cong U_{\text{ad}}^0 \otimes U^+ \cong U_{\text{ad}}^{\geq 0}, & U^- \otimes U_{\text{ad}}^0 &\cong U_{\text{ad}}^0 \otimes U^- \cong U_{\text{ad}}^{\leq 0}. \end{aligned}$$

2.3. The modified quantized enveloping algebra $\dot{U} = \dot{U}(\Delta)$ is defined as follows (see Lusztig [13]). For $\gamma \in Q$ set $U_{\text{ad}, \gamma} = \{u \in U_{\text{ad}} \mid k_i u k_i^{-1} = q_i^{(\gamma, \alpha_i^\vee)} u \ (i \in I)\}$. For $\lambda, \mu \in P$ we set

$${}_\lambda \overline{U}_\mu = U_{\text{ad}} / \left(\sum_{i \in I} (k_i - q_i^{(\lambda, \alpha_i^\vee)}) U_{\text{ad}} + \sum_{i \in I} U_{\text{ad}} (k_i - q_i^{(\mu, \alpha_i^\vee)}) \right)$$

(note ${}_\lambda \overline{U}_\mu = 0$ unless $\lambda - \mu \in Q$), and let ${}_\lambda p_\mu : U_{\text{ad}} \rightarrow {}_\lambda \overline{U}_\mu$ be the natural map. For $\lambda \in P$ set $1_\lambda = {}_\lambda p_\lambda(1)$. Set

$$\dot{U} = \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu \in P} {}_\lambda \overline{U}_\mu.$$

Then $\dot{U}$ is an associative algebra (without 1) by

$${}_\lambda p_\mu(x) {}_{\lambda'} p_{\mu'}(y) = \begin{cases} {}_\lambda p_{\mu'}(xy) & (\mu = \lambda') \\ 0 & (\mu \neq \lambda') \end{cases}$$

for $x \in U_{\text{ad}, \lambda - \mu}$, $y \in U_{\text{ad}, \lambda' - \mu'}$. Moreover, $\dot{U}$ is a U_{ad} -bimodule by

$$u \cdot {}_\lambda p_\mu(x) \cdot u' = {}_{\lambda + \gamma} p_{\mu - \gamma'}(uxu') \quad (x \in U_{\text{ad}, \lambda - \mu}, u \in U_{\text{ad}, \gamma}, u' \in U_{\text{ad}, \gamma'}).$$

Then we have an isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{\lambda \in P} (U^- \otimes U^+) \cong \dot{U} \quad ((u_\lambda \otimes u'_\lambda)_{\lambda \in P} \longleftrightarrow \sum_{\lambda \in P} u_\lambda 1_\lambda u'_\lambda).$$

For each $\lambda \in P^+$ there exists uniquely a finite dimensional $\dot{U}$ -module $L(\lambda)$ such that

$$L(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \lambda - Q^+} 1_\mu L(\lambda), \quad \dim 1_\lambda L(\lambda) = 1.$$

2.4. We denote by $V = V(\Delta)$ the associative algebra over $\mathbb{F}$ generated by the elements t_λ ($\lambda \in P$), x_i, y_i ($i \in I$) satisfying the fundamental relations

$$\begin{aligned} t_0 &= 1, & t_\lambda t_\mu &= t_{\lambda+\mu} \quad (\lambda, \mu \in P), \\ t_\lambda x_i t_\lambda^{-1} &= q_i^{(\lambda, \alpha_i^\vee)} x_i & (\lambda \in P, i \in I), \\ t_\lambda y_i t_\lambda^{-1} &= q_i^{(\lambda, \alpha_i^\vee)} y_i & (\lambda \in P, i \in I), \\ x_i y_j - y_j x_i &= 0 & (i, j \in I), \\ \sum_{n=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^n x_i^{(1-a_{ij}-n)} x_j x_i^{(n)} &= 0 & (i, j \in I, i \neq j), \\ \sum_{n=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^n y_i^{(1-a_{ij}-n)} y_j y_i^{(n)} &= 0 & (i, j \in I, i \neq j), \end{aligned}$$

where $x_i^{(n)} = x_i^n / [n]_{q_i}!$, $y_i^{(n)} = y_i^n / [n]_{q_i}!$ ($i \in I, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$). We set $t_i = t_{\alpha_i}$ for $i \in I$.

Define subalgebras $V^0, V^+, V^-, V^{\geq 0}, V^{\leq 0}$ of V by

$$\begin{aligned} V^0 &= \langle t_\lambda \mid \lambda \in P \rangle, & V^+ &= \langle x_i \mid i \in I \rangle, & V^- &= \langle y_i \mid i \in I \rangle, \\ V^{\geq 0} &= \langle t_\lambda, x_i \mid \lambda \in P, i \in I \rangle, & V^{\leq 0} &= \langle t_\lambda, y_i \mid \lambda \in P, i \in I \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We have $V^0 = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P} \mathbb{F} t_\lambda$, and the multiplication of V induces isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} V^+ \otimes V^0 \otimes V^- &\cong V^- \otimes V^0 \otimes V^+ \cong V, \\ V^+ \otimes V^0 &\cong V^0 \otimes V^+ \cong V^{\geq 0}, & V^- \otimes V^0 &\cong V^0 \otimes V^- \cong V^{\leq 0}. \end{aligned}$$

of vector spaces. Moreover, we have algebra isomorphisms

$$j^+ : V^+ \rightarrow U^+ \quad (x_i \mapsto e_i), \quad j^- : V^- \rightarrow U^- \quad (y_i \mapsto f_i).$$

REMARK 2.1. V is a q -analogue of the enveloping algebra of a certain solvable Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is a simple Lie algebra with root system Δ (see 2.14 below).

2.5. The modified version $\dot{V} = \dot{V}(\Delta)$ is defined similarly to $\dot{U}$ as follows. Denote by V_{ad} the $\mathbb{F}$ -subalgebra of V generated by t_λ ($\lambda \in Q$), x_i, y_i ($i \in I$). For $\gamma \in Q^+$ set $V_{\text{ad}, \gamma} = \{v \in V_{\text{ad}} \mid t_i v t_i^{-1} = q_i^{(\gamma, \alpha_i^\vee)} v \text{ } (i \in I)\}$. For $\lambda, \mu \in P$ we set

$${}_\lambda \overline{V}_\mu = V_{\text{ad}} / \left(\sum_{i \in I} (t_i - q_i^{(\lambda, \alpha_i^\vee)}) V_{\text{ad}} + \sum_{i \in I} V_{\text{ad}} (t_i - q_i^{(\mu, \alpha_i^\vee)}) \right)$$

(note ${}_{\lambda}\overline{U}_{\mu} = 0$ unless $\lambda - \mu \in Q^+$), and let ${}_{\lambda}\pi_{\mu} : V_{\text{ad}} \rightarrow {}_{\lambda}\overline{V}_{\mu}$ be the natural map. For $\lambda \in P$ set $1_{\lambda} = {}_{\lambda}\pi_{\lambda}(1)$. Set

$$\dot{V} = \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu \in P} {}_{\lambda}\overline{V}_{\mu}.$$

Then $\dot{V}$ is an associative algebra (without 1) by

$${}_{\lambda}\pi_{\mu}(x) {}_{\lambda'}\pi_{\mu'}(y) = \begin{cases} {}_{\lambda}\pi_{\mu'}(xy) & (\mu = \lambda') \\ 0 & (\mu \neq \lambda') \end{cases}$$

for $x \in V_{\text{ad}, \lambda - \mu}$, $y \in V_{\text{ad}, \lambda' - \mu'}$. Moreover, $\dot{V}$ is a V_{ad} -bimodule by

$$v \cdot {}_{\lambda}\pi_{\mu}(x) \cdot v' = {}_{\lambda+\gamma}\pi_{\mu-\gamma'}(v x v') \quad (x \in V_{\text{ad}, \lambda - \mu}, u \in V_{\text{ad}, \gamma}, u' \in V_{\text{ad}, \gamma'}).$$

Then we have an isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{\lambda \in P} (V^- \otimes V^+) \cong \dot{V} \quad ((v_{\lambda} \otimes v'_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in P} \longleftrightarrow \sum_{\lambda \in P} v_{\lambda} v'_{\lambda} 1_{\lambda}).$$

2.6. We denote by

$$\tau : U_{\text{ad}}^{\geq 0} \times U_{\text{ad}}^{\leq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$$

the Drinfeld pairing. It is a bilinear form uniquely determined by the properties

$$(2.2) \quad \tau(1, 1) = 1,$$

$$(2.3) \quad \tau(x, y_1 y_2) = (\tau \otimes \tau)(\Delta(x), y_1 \otimes y_2) \quad (x \in U_{\text{ad}}^{\geq 0}, y_1, y_2 \in U_{\text{ad}}^{\leq 0}),$$

$$(2.4) \quad \tau(x_1 x_2, y) = (\tau \otimes \tau)(x_2 \otimes x_1, \Delta(y)) \quad (x_1, x_2 \in U_{\text{ad}}^{\geq 0}, y \in U_{\text{ad}}^{\leq 0}),$$

$$(2.5) \quad \tau(k_i, k_j) = q_i^{-(\alpha_i^{\vee}, \alpha_j)} \quad (i, j \in I),$$

$$(2.6) \quad \tau(k_{\lambda}, f_i) = \tau(e_i, k_{\lambda}) = 0 \quad (\lambda \in Q, i \in I),$$

$$(2.7) \quad \tau(e_i, f_j) = \delta_{ij} / (q_i^{-1} - q_i) \quad (i, j \in I).$$

We define a bilinear form

$$\sigma : U \times \dot{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(u_+ k_{\mu}(S u_-), v_- v_+ 1_{\lambda}) &= \tau(u_+, \eta^-(v_-)) \delta_{\lambda, \mu} \tau(\eta^+(v_+), u_-) \\ (u_{\pm} \in U^{\pm}, v_{\pm} \in V^{\pm}, \lambda, \mu \in P). \end{aligned}$$

The following result is a consequence of Gavarini [6, Theorem 6.2] (see also [17, Proposition 3.4]).

PROPOSITION 2.2. *We have*

$$\sigma(u, v v') = (\sigma \otimes \sigma)(\Delta(u), v \otimes v') \quad (u \in U, v, v' \in \dot{V}).$$

2.7. For a Hopf algebra H we define a left action of H on H by

$$\text{ad}(h)(h') = \sum_j h_{0j} h' (Sh_{1j}) \quad (h, h' \in H, \Delta(h) = \sum_j h_{0j} \otimes h_{1j}).$$

We define a right action of U_{ad} on $\dot{U}$ by

$$x \cdot \widetilde{\text{ad}}(u) = \sum_j (Su_{0j}) x u_{1j} \quad (x \in \dot{U}, u \in U_{\text{ad}}, \Delta(u) = \sum_j u_{0j} \otimes u_{1j}).$$

We set

$${}^e U = \sum_{\lambda \in P} U^+ k_{2\lambda} (SU^-) \subset U.$$

Then ${}^e U$ is a subalgebra of U satisfying $\text{ad}(U)({}^e U) \subset {}^e U$. Define a bilinear form

$$\omega : {}^e U \times \dot{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(u_+ k_{2\mu} (Su_-), w_- 1_\lambda (Sw_+)) &= \tau(u_+, w_-) \delta_{\lambda, -\mu} \tau(w_+, u_-) \\ &\quad (u_\pm, w_\pm \in U^\pm, \lambda, \mu \in P). \end{aligned}$$

The following result is a consequence of [16, Proposition 2.2.1].

PROPOSITION 2.3. *We have*

$$\omega(\text{ad}(u')(u), x) = \omega(u, x \cdot \widetilde{\text{ad}}(u')) \quad (u \in {}^e U, u' \in U, x \in \dot{U}).$$

2.8. We denote Lusztig's braid group action on U by T_i ($i \in I$). Namely, $T_i : U \rightarrow U$ is the algebra automorphism given by

$$\begin{aligned} T_i(k_\lambda) &= k_{s_i(\lambda)} \quad (\lambda \in P), \\ T_i(e_j) &= \begin{cases} -f_i k_i & (i = j) \\ \sum_{r=0}^{-a_{ij}} (-1)^{-a_{ij}-r} q_i^{-r} e_i^{(-a_{ij}-r)} e_j e_i^{(r)} & (i \neq j), \end{cases} \\ T_i(f_j) &= \begin{cases} -k_i^{-1} e_i & (i = j) \\ \sum_{r=0}^{-a_{ij}} (-1)^r q_i^{-a_{ij}-r} f_i^{(-a_{ij}-r)} f_j f_i^{(r)} & (i \neq j). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We denote by w_0 the longest element of W . We fix a reduced expression $w_0 = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_N}$ ($i_1, \dots, i_N \in I$) in the following. For $j = 1, \dots, N$ set $\beta_j = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{j-1}}(\alpha_{i_j})$, and

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\beta_j} &= T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_{j-1}}(e_{i_j}), & f_{\beta_j} &= T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_{j-1}}(f_{i_j}), \\ e_{\beta_j}^{(n)} &= T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_{j-1}}(e_{i_j}^{(n)}), & f_{\beta_j}^{(n)} &= T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_{j-1}}(f_{i_j}^{(n)}) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have $\Delta^+ = \{\beta_j \mid j = 1, \dots, N\}$, and $e_\beta \in U^+$, $f_\beta \in U^-$ ($\beta \in \Delta^+$). Moreover, the set $\{e_{\beta_N}^{m_N} \cdots e_{\beta_1}^{m_1} \mid m_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$ (resp. $\{f_{\beta_N}^{m_N} \cdots f_{\beta_1}^{m_1} \mid m_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$) is known to be a basis of U^+ (resp. U^-).

2.9. We set $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(\Delta) = P/P_0$, where

$$P_0 = \{\lambda \in P \mid d_i(\lambda, \alpha_i^\vee) \in 2\mathbb{Z} \ (i \in I)\}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{G}$ is a 2-elementary finite group. For $\lambda \in P$ we denote by $\delta_\lambda \in \mathcal{G}$ the element represented by λ . We define an action of $\mathcal{G}$ on the algebra U by

$$\delta_\lambda(k_\mu) = k_\mu, \quad \delta_\lambda(e_i) = (-1)^{d_i(\lambda, \alpha_i^\vee)} e_i, \quad \delta_\lambda(f_i) = (-1)^{d_i(\lambda, \alpha_i^\vee)} f_i$$

for $\lambda, \mu \in P, i \in I$. We define an $\mathbb{F}$ -algebra structure of $\tilde{U} = \tilde{U}(\Delta) = U \otimes \mathbb{F}[\mathcal{G}]$ by

$$(u \otimes \delta)(v \otimes \delta') = u\delta(v) \otimes \delta\delta' \quad (u, v \in U, \quad \delta, \delta' \in \mathcal{G}).$$

We will identify U and $\mathbb{F}[\mathcal{G}]$ with the subalgebras $U \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes \mathbb{F}[\mathcal{G}]$ of $\tilde{U}$ respectively. We extend the $\mathcal{G}$ -action on U to that on $\tilde{U}$ by $\delta(x) = \delta x \delta^{-1}$ ($\delta \in \mathcal{G}, x \in \tilde{U}$). Set

$$U^{\mathcal{G}} = \{u \in U \mid \delta(u) = u \ (\delta \in \mathcal{G})\}, \quad \tilde{U}^{\mathcal{G}} = \{x \in \tilde{U} \mid \delta(x) = x \ (\delta \in \mathcal{G})\}.$$

Then we see easily that $\tilde{U}^{\mathcal{G}} = U^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbb{F}[\mathcal{G}]$.

2.10. Let θ be the automorphism of the field $\mathbb{F}$ sending q to $-q$. For an $\mathbb{F}$ -algebra R we denote by ${}^\theta R$ the $\mathbb{F}$ -algebra obtained by twisting the $\mathbb{F}$ -module structure of R via θ . Namely, ${}^\theta R$ is isomorphic to R as a ring via the correspondence $R \ni x \leftrightarrow {}^\theta x \in {}^\theta R$, and the $\mathbb{F}$ -module structure is given by $c {}^\theta x = {}^\theta(\theta(c)x)$ ($c \in \mathbb{F}, x \in R$).

Now we are going to define an embedding of ${}^\theta U$ into $\tilde{U}$ following [9]. We can take a subset J of I such that for $i, j \in I$ with $i \neq j$ we have

$$d_i(\alpha_i^\vee, \alpha_j) \notin 2\mathbb{Z} \implies |\{i, j\} \cap J| = 1.$$

For $i \in I$ set

$$\varphi_i = \begin{cases} \delta_{\alpha_i} & (i \in J) \\ 1 & (i \notin J), \end{cases} \quad \psi_i = (-1)^{d_i} \varphi_i \delta_{\alpha_i}.$$

For $\gamma = \sum_{i \in I} m_i \alpha_i \in Q$ we further set

$$\varphi_\gamma = \prod_{i \in I} \varphi_i^{m_i}, \quad \psi_\gamma = \prod_{i \in I} \psi_i^{m_i}.$$

PROPOSITION 2.4 ([9]). *An embedding ${}^\theta U \rightarrow \tilde{U}$ of $\mathbb{F}$ -algebras is given by*

$${}^\theta k_\lambda \mapsto k_\lambda \delta_\lambda, \quad {}^\theta e_i \mapsto e_i \varphi_i, \quad {}^\theta f_i \mapsto f_i \psi_i.$$

REMARK 2.5. In [9] Kashiwara-Kang-Oh established using Proposition 2.4 the equivalence $\text{Mod}(U) \cong \text{Mod}({}^\theta U)$, where $\text{Mod}(U)$ (resp. $\text{Mod}({}^\theta U)$) denotes the category of U -modules (resp. ${}^\theta U$ -modules) with weight space decompositions (see also Andersen [1]).

We will identify ${}^\theta U$ with a subalgebra of $\tilde{U}$. We can easily check the following.

LEMMA 2.6. (i) The multiplication of $\tilde{U}$ gives an isomorphism ${}^\theta U \otimes \mathbb{F}[\mathcal{G}] \cong \tilde{U}$ of $\mathbb{F}$ -modules.
(ii) For any $\delta \in \mathcal{G}$ and ${}^\theta u \in {}^\theta U$ we have $\delta {}^\theta u \delta^{-1} = {}^\theta(\delta(u))$.

PROPOSITION 2.7. For any $\beta \in \Delta^+$ we have

$${}^\theta e_\beta = \pm e_\beta \varphi_\beta, \quad {}^\theta(Sf_\beta) = \pm(Sf_\beta)\varphi_\beta.$$

PROOF. For $i \in I$ define ${}^\theta T_i : {}^\theta U \rightarrow {}^\theta U$ by ${}^\theta T_i({}^\theta u) = {}^\theta(T_i(u))$ ($u \in U$). For $\gamma \in Q^+$ set

$$U_\gamma^+ = \{x \in U^+ \mid k_i x k_i^{-1} = q_i^{(\alpha_i^\vee, \gamma)} x \ (i \in I)\}.$$

In order to show the statement for e_β , it is sufficient to show that for $\gamma \in Q^+$ and $i \in I$ there exists $c_{i,\gamma} \in \{\pm 1\}$ satisfying

$$(2.8) \quad {}^\theta T_i(x\varphi_\gamma) = c_{i,\gamma} T_i(x)\varphi_{s_i\gamma} \quad (x \in U_\gamma^+).$$

The proof of (2.8) is reduced to showing that for $i, j \in I$ there exists $c_{i,j} \in \{\pm 1\}$ satisfying

$$(2.9) \quad {}^\theta T_i(e_j\varphi_j) = c_{i,j} T_i(e_j)\varphi_{s_i\alpha_j}.$$

In fact for $\gamma = \sum_{p=1}^n \alpha_{j_p} \in Q^+$ we have

$$c_{i,\gamma} = \left(\prod_p c_{ij_p} \right) \left(\prod_{p < p'} (-1)^{d_i(\alpha_i^\vee, \alpha_{j_p})(\alpha_i^\vee, \alpha_{j_{p'}})} \right).$$

The verification of (2.9) in the case $i = j$ is easy. In the case $i \neq j$ one needs some case by case calculation according to the relative position of α_i and α_j . Details are omitted. The proof of the assertion for f_β is similar. $\square$

2.11. Set $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\Delta) = Q^\vee / 2Q^\vee$. For $\nu \in Q^\vee$ we denote by γ_ν the element of $\mathcal{H}$ represented by ν . Define an action of $\mathcal{H}$ on the $\mathbb{F}$ -algebra $U^0 = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P} \mathbb{F}k_\lambda \cong \mathbb{C}[P]$ by

$$\gamma_\nu \cdot k_\lambda = (-1)^{(\nu, \lambda)} k_\lambda \quad (\nu \in Q^\vee, \lambda \in P).$$

We can extend this $\mathcal{H}$ -action on U^0 to that on the algebra $U \cong U^+ \otimes SU^- \otimes U^0$ by

$$\gamma \cdot (ut) = u(\gamma \cdot t) \quad (\gamma \in \mathcal{H}, u \in U^+(SU^-), t \in U^0).$$

Since this action commutes with that of $\mathcal{G}$, we get an action of $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}$ on U .

2.12. Set $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]$. Following De Concini-Procesi [5] we define $U_{\mathbb{A}}$ to be the smallest $\mathbb{A}$ -subalgebra of U that contains k_{λ} ($\lambda \in P$), $(q_i - q_i^{-1})e_i$, $(q_i - q_i^{-1})f_i$ ($i \in I$) and is stable under the action of T_i ($i \in I$). It is a Hopf algebra over $\mathbb{A}$. Set

$$U_{\mathbb{A}}^0 = U_{\mathbb{A}} \cap U^0, \quad U_{\mathbb{A}}^{\pm} = U_{\mathbb{A}} \cap U^{\pm}, \quad U_{\mathbb{A}}^{\geq 0} = U_{\mathbb{A}} \cap U^{\geq 0}, \quad U_{\mathbb{A}}^{\leq 0} = U_{\mathbb{A}} \cap U^{\leq 0}.$$

Then we have $U_{\mathbb{A}}^0 = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P} \mathbb{A}k_{\lambda}$, and the multiplication of $U_{\mathbb{A}}$ induces isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} U_{\mathbb{A}}^+ \otimes U_{\mathbb{A}}^0 \otimes U_{\mathbb{A}}^- &\cong U_{\mathbb{A}}^- \otimes U_{\mathbb{A}}^0 \otimes U_{\mathbb{A}}^+ \cong U_{\mathbb{A}}, \\ U_{\mathbb{A}}^+ \otimes U_{\mathbb{A}}^0 &\cong U_{\mathbb{A}}^0 \otimes U_{\mathbb{A}}^+ \cong U_{\mathbb{A}}^{\geq 0}, \quad U_{\mathbb{A}}^- \otimes U_{\mathbb{A}}^0 \cong U_{\mathbb{A}}^0 \otimes U_{\mathbb{A}}^- \cong U_{\mathbb{A}}^{\leq 0}. \end{aligned}$$

of $\mathbb{A}$ -modules. For $\beta \in \Delta^+$ we define $a_{\beta} \in U_{\mathbb{A}}^+$, $b_{\beta} \in U_{\mathbb{A}}^-$ by

$$a_{\beta} = (q_{\beta} - q_{\beta}^{-1})e_{\beta}, \quad b_{\beta} = (q_{\beta} - q_{\beta}^{-1})f_{\beta}.$$

Then $\{a_{\beta_N}^{m_N} \cdots a_{\beta_1}^{m_1} \mid m_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$ (resp. $\{b_{\beta_N}^{m_N} \cdots b_{\beta_1}^{m_1} \mid m_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$) is a free $\mathbb{A}$ -basis of $U_{\mathbb{A}}^+$ (resp. $U_{\mathbb{A}}^-$).

Denote by $U_{\mathbb{A}}^{L,+}$ (resp. $U_{\mathbb{A}}^{L,-}$) the $\mathbb{A}$ -subalgebra of U^+ (resp. U^-) generated by the elements $\{e_i^{(n)} \mid i \in I, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$ (resp. $\{f_i^{(n)} \mid i \in I, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$). Then $\{e_{\beta_N}^{(m_N)} \cdots e_{\beta_1}^{(m_1)} \mid m_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$ (resp. $\{f_{\beta_N}^{(m_N)} \cdots f_{\beta_1}^{(m_1)} \mid m_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$) is a free $\mathbb{A}$ -basis of $U_{\mathbb{A}}^{L,+}$ (resp. $U_{\mathbb{A}}^{L,-}$).

We define $\dot{U}_{\mathbb{A}}$ to be the $\mathbb{A}$ -subalgebra of $\dot{U}$ consisting of elements of the form

$$\sum_{\lambda \in P} u_{\lambda} 1_{\lambda} u'_{\lambda} \quad (u_{\lambda} \in U_{\mathbb{A}}^{L,-}, u'_{\lambda} \in U_{\mathbb{A}}^{L,+}).$$

For $\lambda \in P^+$ we define an $\mathbb{A}$ -form $L_{\mathbb{A}}(\lambda)$ of $L(\lambda)$ by

$$L_{\mathbb{A}}(\lambda) = \dot{U}_{\mathbb{A}} v \quad (1_{\lambda} L(\lambda) = \mathbb{F}v).$$

We define $\dot{V}_{\mathbb{A}}$ to be the $\mathbb{A}$ -subalgebra of $\dot{V}$ consisting of elements of the form

$$\sum_{\lambda \in P} v_{\lambda} v'_{\lambda} 1_{\lambda} \quad (v_{\lambda} \in (j^-)^{-1}(U_{\mathbb{A}}^{L,-}), v'_{\lambda} \in (j^+)^{-1}(U_{\mathbb{A}}^{L,+})).$$

By [10], [11], [14] we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.10) \quad & \tau(e_{\beta_N}^{(m_N)} \cdots e_{\beta_1}^{(m_1)}, b_{\beta_N}^{n_N} \cdots b_{\beta_1}^{n_1}) = \tau(a_{\beta_N}^{m_N} \cdots a_{\beta_1}^{m_1}, f_{\beta_N}^{(n_N)} \cdots f_{\beta_1}^{(n_1)}) \\ & = \prod_{s=1}^N \delta_{m_s, n_s} (-1)^{m_s} q_{\beta_s}^{m_s(m_s-1)/2}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence σ and ω induce perfect bilinear forms

$$\sigma_{\mathbb{A}} : U_{\mathbb{A}} \times \dot{V}_{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}, \quad \omega_{\mathbb{A}} : {}^e U_{\mathbb{A}} \times \dot{U}_{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}.$$

Set $\tilde{U}_{\mathbb{A}} = U_{\mathbb{A}} \otimes \mathbb{A}[\mathcal{G}]$. It is an $\mathbb{A}$ -subalgebra of $\tilde{U}$. We also have an obvious $\mathbb{A}$ -form ${}^{\theta}U_{\mathbb{A}}$ of ${}^{\theta}U$. By Proposition 2.7 the embedding ${}^{\theta}U \subset \tilde{U}$ induces ${}^{\theta}U_{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow \tilde{U}_{\mathbb{A}}$.

2.13. Let $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, and set

$$(2.11) \quad z_\beta = z^{d_\beta} \quad (\beta \in \Delta), \quad z_i = z_{\alpha_i} \quad (i \in I).$$

Set

$$U_z = U_z(\Delta) = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} U_{\mathbb{A}},$$

where $\mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is given by $q \mapsto z$. We also set

$$\begin{aligned} U_z^{\flat} &= \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} U_{\mathbb{A}}^{\flat} \quad (\flat = \emptyset, +, -, 0, \geq 0, \leq 0), \\ \dot{U}_z &= \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} \dot{U}_{\mathbb{A}}, \quad \dot{V}_z = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} \dot{V}_{\mathbb{A}}, \quad {}^e U_z = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} {}^e U_{\mathbb{A}}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\lambda \in P^+$ we define a $\dot{U}_z$ -module $L_z(\lambda)$ by

$$L_z(\lambda) = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} L_{\mathbb{A}}(\lambda).$$

Note that $\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}$ and $\omega_{\mathbb{A}}$ induce perfect bilinear forms

$$\sigma_z : U_z \times \dot{V}_z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \omega_z : {}^e U_z \times \dot{U}_z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Set $\tilde{U}_z = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} \tilde{U}_{\mathbb{A}} = U_z \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{G}]$. Then we have a natural embedding $U_z \subset \tilde{U}_z$, which is compatible with the $\mathcal{G}$ -actions. Note that the embedding ${}^\theta U_{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow \tilde{U}_{\mathbb{A}}$ also induces an embedding $U_{-z} \subset \tilde{U}_z$, which is compatible with $\mathcal{G}$ -actions. Hence setting

$$\begin{aligned} U_z^{\mathcal{G}} &= \{u \in U_z \mid \delta(u) = u \ (\delta \in \mathcal{G})\}, \\ \tilde{U}_z^{\mathcal{G}} &= \{x \in \tilde{U}_z \mid \delta(x) = x \ (\delta \in \mathcal{G})\}, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain embeddings

$$U_{-z} \subset \tilde{U}_z \supset U_z, \quad U_{-z}^{\mathcal{G}} \subset \tilde{U}_z^{\mathcal{G}} \supset U_z^{\mathcal{G}}.$$

We denote by $\tilde{\Xi}_z : U_{-z} \rightarrow U_z$ the restriction of the linear map $\tilde{U}_z \rightarrow U_z$, which sends $u\delta_\lambda$ for $u \in U_z$, $\lambda \in P$ to u .

PROPOSITION 2.8. *The linear map $\tilde{\Xi}_z$ induces an isomorphism*

$$(2.12) \quad \Xi_z : U_{-z}^{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow U_z^{\mathcal{G}}$$

of $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras, which is compatible with the $\mathcal{H}$ -actions.

PROOF. Since $\tilde{\Xi}_z$ is a linear isomorphism compatible with $\mathcal{G}$ -actions, it induces a linear isomorphism $\Xi_z : U_{-z}^{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow U_z^{\mathcal{G}}$. Note that $U_{-z}^{\mathcal{G}} \subset \tilde{U}_z^{\mathcal{G}} = U_z^{\mathcal{G}} \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{G}]$. For $u, u' \in U_z^{\mathcal{G}}$, $\delta, \delta' \in \mathcal{G}$ we have

$$\tilde{\Xi}_z((u\delta)(u'\delta')) = \tilde{\Xi}_z(uu'\delta\delta') = uu' = \tilde{\Xi}_z(u\delta)\tilde{\Xi}_z(u'\delta').$$

Hence $\tilde{\Xi}_z|_{U_z^{\mathcal{G}} \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{G}]} : U_z^{\mathcal{G}} \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{G}] \rightarrow U_z^{\mathcal{G}}$ is an algebra homomorphism. It follows that its restriction $\Xi_z : U_{-z}^{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow U_z^{\mathcal{G}}$ is also an algebra homomorphism. The remaining statement about the action of $\mathcal{H}$ is obvious. $\square$

2.14. Let $G = G(\Delta)$ be a connected, simply-connected semisimple algebraic group over $\mathbb{C}$ with root system Δ . Take a maximal torus $H = H(\Delta)$ of G and Borel subgroups B^+, B^- of G such that $B^+ \cap B^- = H$. Set $N^\pm = [B^\pm, B^\pm]$, and define a closed subgroup $K = K(\Delta)$ of $B^+ \times B^-$ by

$$K = \{(gh, g'h^{-1}) \mid h \in H, g \in N^+, g' \in N^-\}.$$

Then $\dot{V}_1$ is identified with the modified enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra of K . Hence we obtain an isomorphism

$$(2.13) \quad U_1 \cong \mathbb{C}[K]$$

of coalgebras by Proposition 2.2. Since U_1 and $\mathbb{C}[K]$ are commutative, we see easily that (2.13) is an isomorphism of Hopf algebras (see [5], [6], [17]).

3. HARISH-CHANDRA CENTER

3.1. For a ring R we denote its center by $Z(R)$.

Consider the composite of

$$Z(U) \hookrightarrow U \cong U^- \otimes U^0 \otimes U^+ \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \otimes 1 \otimes \varepsilon} U^0 \cong \mathbb{F}[P],$$

where $\mathbb{F}[P] = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P} \mathbb{F}e(\lambda)$ is the group algebra of P , and the isomorphism $U^0 \cong \mathbb{F}[P]$ is given by $k_\lambda \leftrightarrow e(\lambda)$. By [4], [8], [16] this linear map $Z(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[P]$ is an injective algebra homomorphism whose image coincides with

$$\mathbb{F}[2P]^{W^\circ} = \{x \in \mathbb{F}[2P] \mid w \circ x = x \ (w \in W)\},$$

where the action of W on $\mathbb{F}[2P]$ is given by

$$w \circ e(2\lambda) = q^{(w\lambda - \lambda, 2\bar{\rho})} e(2w\lambda) \quad (w \in W, \lambda \in P).$$

Hence we have an isomorphism

$$(3.1) \quad \iota : Z(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[2P]^{W^\circ}.$$

We recall here a description of $Z(U)$ in terms of the characters of finite-dimensional U -modules. For a finite-dimensional $\dot{U}$ -module V with weight space decomposition $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P} 1_\lambda V$, we define $\tilde{t}_V \in \dot{U}^*$ by

$$\langle \tilde{t}_V, x \rangle = \text{Tr}(xk_{2\rho}, V) \quad (x \in \dot{U}).$$

Then there exists uniquely an element $t_V \in {}^e U$ satisfying

$$\omega(t_V, x) = \langle \tilde{t}_V, x \rangle \quad (x \in \dot{U}^e).$$

More explicitly, we have

$$t_V = \sum_{\lambda \in 2P, \sum_{j=1}^N (m_j - m'_j)\beta_j = 0} c(\lambda, \{m_j\}_{j=1}^N, \{m'_j\}_{j=1}^N) a_{\beta_1}^{m_1} \cdots a_{\beta_N}^{m_N} k_\lambda S(b_{\beta_N}^{m'_N} \cdots b_{\beta_1}^{m'_1}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & c(\lambda, \{m_j\}_{j=1}^N, \{m'_j\}_{j=1}^N) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^N (-1)^{m_j+m'_j} q_{\beta_j}^{-m_j(m_j-1)/2 - m'_j(m'_j-1)/2} \\ & \quad \times \operatorname{Tr} \left(\pi \left\{ f_{\beta_1}^{(m_1)} \cdots f_{\beta_N}^{(m_N)} 1_{-\lambda/2} S(e_{\beta_N}^{(m'_N)} \cdots e_{\beta_1}^{(m'_1)}) k_{2\rho} \right\}, 1_{-\lambda/2 - \sum_j m'_j \beta_j} V \right). \end{aligned}$$

We can show $t_V \in Z(U)$ using $k_{2\rho}^{-1} u k_{2\rho} = S^2 u$ ($u \in U$), $Z(U) = \{v \in U \mid \operatorname{ad}(u)(v) = \varepsilon(u)v \text{ } (u \in U)\}$, and Proposition 2.3 (see [16]). We have

$$\iota(t_V) = \sum_{\lambda \in P} (\dim V_\lambda) q^{(\lambda, 2\bar{\rho})} e(-2\lambda).$$

PROPOSITION 3.1. (i) $Z(U) \subset U^{\mathcal{G}}$.
(ii) *We have*

$$Z({}^\theta U) = Z(\tilde{U}) = Z(U)$$

as subalgebras of $\tilde{U}$. Moreover, the composite of

$$\mathbb{F}[2P]^{W^\circ} \cong Z(U) = Z({}^\theta U) \cong {}^\theta Z(U) \cong {}^\theta (\mathbb{F}[2P]^{W^\circ})$$

is induced by the $\mathbb{F}$ -linear isomorphism

$$\mathbb{F}[2P] \ni e(2\lambda) \mapsto {}^\theta e(2\lambda) \in {}^\theta \mathbb{F}[2P].$$

PROOF. (i) Let $\delta \in \mathcal{G}$. Since δ acts on U as an algebra automorphism, we have $\delta(Z(U)) = Z(U)$. It is easily seen from the definition of δ that $\iota(\delta(z)) = \iota(z)$ for any $z \in Z(U)$. Hence δ acts as identity on $Z(U)$.

(ii) By (i) we have $Z(U) \subset Z(\tilde{U})$. Let us show $Z(U) \supset Z(\tilde{U})$. Let $z = \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{G}} u_\delta \delta \in Z(\tilde{U})$, where $u_\delta \in U$. By $uz = zu$ for $u \in U$ we have $uu_\delta = u_\delta \delta(u)$. By considering the corresponding identity in the associated graded algebra $\operatorname{Gr} U$ introduced in [5] we see easily that $u_\delta = 0$ for $\delta \neq 1$. Hence $z \in Z(U)$. The proof of $Z({}^\theta U) = Z(\tilde{U})$ is similar. The remaining statement is a consequence of ${}^\theta k_{2\lambda} = k_{2\lambda}$ for $\lambda \in P$. $\square$

3.2. By $Z(U_\mathbb{A}) = U_\mathbb{A} \cap Z(U)$ ι induces an injective algebra homomorphism

$$\iota_\mathbb{A} : Z(U_\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}[2P]^{W^\circ}$$

PROPOSITION 3.2. $\iota_\mathbb{A}$ is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{A}$ -algebras.

PROOF. For $\lambda \in P^+$ we have $t_{L(\lambda)} \in U_\mathbb{A}$, and $\mathbb{A}[2P]^{W^\circ}$ is spanned over $\mathbb{A}$ by $\iota(t_{L(\lambda)})$ for $\lambda \in P^+$. $\square$

3.3. Let $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. We denote by $Z_{\text{Har}}(U_z)$ the image of $Z(U_{\mathbb{A}}) \rightarrow Z(U_z)$, and call it the Harish-Chandra center of U_z . We can similarly consider the composite of

$$Z_{\text{Har}}(U_z) \hookrightarrow U_z \cong U_z^- \otimes U_z^0 \otimes U_z^+ \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \otimes 1 \otimes \varepsilon} U_z^0 \cong \mathbb{C}[P].$$

We define an action $\circ_z$ of W on $\mathbb{C}[2P]$ by

$$w \circ_z e(2\lambda) = z^{(w\lambda - \lambda, 2\tilde{\rho})} e(2w\lambda) \quad (w \in W, \lambda \in P).$$

PROPOSITION 3.3. *The above linear map $Z_{\text{Har}}(U_z) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[P]$ induces an isomorphism*

$$\iota_z : Z_{\text{Har}}(U_z) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[2P]^{W \circ_z}$$

of $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras.

PROOF. By $Z(U_{\mathbb{A}}) = U_{\mathbb{A}} \cap Z(U)$ the canonical map $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} Z(U_{\mathbb{A}}) \rightarrow U_z$ is injective. Hence $Z_{\text{Har}}(U_z) \cong \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} Z(U_{\mathbb{A}}) \cong \mathbb{C}[2P]^{W \circ_z}$. $\square$

For a finite dimensional $\dot{U}_z$ -module V with weight space decomposition $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P} 1_\lambda V$, we can similarly define $t_V \in {}^e U_z$ by

$$\omega_z(t_V, x) = \text{Tr}(xk_{2\rho}, V) \quad (x \in \dot{U}_z).$$

By our construction $\{t_{L_z(\lambda)} \mid \lambda \in P^+\}$ is a basis of $Z_{\text{Har}}(U_z)$. If V is a finite dimensional $\dot{U}_z$ -module with weight space decomposition, then we can write

$$[V] = \sum_{\lambda \in P^+} m_\lambda [L_z(\lambda)] \quad (m_\lambda \in \mathbb{Z})$$

in an appropriate Grothendieck group, and in this case we have

$$t_V = \sum_{\lambda \in P^+} m_\lambda t_{L_z(\lambda)} \in Z_{\text{Har}}(U_z).$$

Note that for $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ the two actions $\circ_z$ and $\circ_{-z}$ of W on $\mathbb{C}[2P]$ are the same. By Proposition 3.1 we have the following.

PROPOSITION 3.4. *For $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ we have $U_z^{\mathcal{G}} \supset Z_{\text{Har}}(U_z)$, and the isomorphism $\Xi_z : U_{-z}^{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow U_z^{\mathcal{G}}$ induces the isomorphism $Z_{\text{Har}}(U_{-z}) \cong Z_{\text{Har}}(U_z)$ given by*

$$Z_{\text{Har}}(U_{-z}) \xrightarrow{\iota_{-z}} \mathbb{C}[2P]^{W \circ_{-z}} = \mathbb{C}[2P]^{W \circ_z} \xleftarrow{\iota_z} Z_{\text{Har}}(U_z)$$

3.4. We consider the case where $z = 1$. Since the action $\circ_1$ of W on $\mathbb{C}[2P]$ is nothing but the ordinary one, we have

$$Z_{\text{Har}}(U_1) \cong \mathbb{C}[2P]^W \cong \mathbb{C}[P]^W \cong \mathbb{C}[H]^W \cong \mathbb{C}[H/W].$$

Here the second isomorphism is induced by $\mathbb{C}[2P] \ni e(2\lambda) \leftrightarrow e(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}[P]$. Recall also that we have an isomorphism

$$U_1 \cong \mathbb{C}[K].$$

Hence the inclusion $Z_{\text{Har}}(U_1) \rightarrow U_1$ induces a morphism $f : K \rightarrow H/W$ of algebraic varieties. Let us give an explicit description of this

morphism. Define a morphism $\kappa : K \rightarrow G$ of algebraic varieties by $\kappa(g_1, g_2) = g_1 g_2^{-1}$. We also define $v : G \rightarrow H/W$ as follows. Let $g \in G$. Let g_s be the semisimple part of g with respect to the Jordan decomposition. Then $\text{Ad}(G)(g_s) \cap H$ consists of a single W -orbit. We define $v(g)$ to be this W -orbit.

PROPOSITION 3.5 ([5]). *The morphism $f : K \rightarrow H/W$ is the composite of $\kappa : K \rightarrow G$ and $v : G \rightarrow H/W$.*

4. FROBENIUS CENTER

4.1. Fix a positive integer ℓ . If ℓ is odd (resp. even), then we set $r = \ell$ (resp. $r = \ell/2$). Note that r is the order of ζ^2 . We assume

$$(4.1) \quad r > d$$

in the following. We take $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ to be a primitive ℓ -th root of 1. Define ζ_β ($\beta \in \Delta$), ζ_i ($i \in I$) as in (2.11) for $z = \zeta$. For $\beta \in \Delta$ we denote the orders of $\zeta_\beta, \zeta_\beta^2$ by ℓ_β, r_β respectively. For $i \in I$ we set $\ell_i = \ell_{\alpha_i}$, $r_i = r_{\alpha_i}$.

4.2. For $\alpha \in \Delta$ set $\alpha' = r_\alpha \alpha \in \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{Q}^*$. Then $\Delta' = \{r_\alpha \alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a root system with $\{\alpha'_i \mid i \in I\}$ a set of simple roots. Note that as an abstract root system (disregarding the inner product) we have $\Delta' \cong \Delta$ or $\Delta' \cong \Delta^\vee$. Set

$$P' = \{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{Q}^* \mid (\mu, \alpha^\vee) \in r_\alpha \mathbb{Z} \quad (\forall \alpha \in \Delta)\}.$$

Then P' is the weight lattice for Δ' , and we have $P' \subset P$.

Set

$$(4.2) \quad \varepsilon = \zeta_\alpha^{r_\alpha^2} \quad (\alpha \in \Delta, \alpha' \in (\Delta')_{\text{short}}).$$

Then we have $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. Furthermore, $\varepsilon = -1$ if and only if we have either

(a) r is odd and $\ell = 2r$,

or

(b) $d = 2$, r is even with $r/2$ odd.

Set

$$\varepsilon_{\alpha'} = \varepsilon^{(\alpha', \alpha') / (\beta', \beta')} \quad (\alpha' \in \Delta', \beta' \in (\Delta')_{\text{short}}).$$

Then we have

$$(4.3) \quad \varepsilon_{\alpha'} = \zeta_\alpha^{r_\alpha^2} \quad (\alpha \in \Delta).$$

4.3. Similarly to the Frobenius homomorphism

$$(4.4) \quad \text{Fr} : \dot{U}_\zeta(\Delta) \rightarrow \dot{U}_\varepsilon(\Delta')$$

given in [13, Theorem 35.1.9] we can define an algebra homomorphism

$$(4.5) \quad \xi : \dot{V}_\zeta(\Delta) \rightarrow \dot{V}_\varepsilon(\Delta')$$

such that

- for $\lambda \notin P'$ we have $\xi(x_i^{(n)} 1_\lambda) = \xi(y_i^{(n)} 1_\lambda) = 0 \quad (i \in I, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$,

- for $\lambda \in P'$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\xi(x_i^{(n)} 1_\lambda) &= \begin{cases} x_i^{(n/r_i)} 1_\lambda & (r_i | n) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}), \end{cases} \\ \xi(y_i^{(n)} 1_\lambda) &= \begin{cases} y_i^{(n/r_i)} 1_\lambda & (r_i | n) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

The fact that ξ is well-defined follows easily from the corresponding fact for Fr. Moreover, for $\lambda \in P'$ and $\beta \in \Delta^+$

$$\begin{aligned}\xi(x_\beta^{(n)} 1_\lambda) &= \begin{cases} x_{\beta'}^{(n/r_\beta)} 1_\lambda & (r_\beta | n) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}), \end{cases} \\ \xi(y_\beta^{(n)} 1_\lambda) &= \begin{cases} y_{\beta'}^{(n/r_\beta)} 1_\lambda & (r_\beta | n) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

by [13, 41.1.9].

PROPOSITION 4.1. *There exists uniquely an injective homomorphism*

$${}^t\xi : U_\varepsilon(\Delta') \rightarrow U_\zeta(\Delta)$$

of coalgebras satisfying

$$(4.6) \quad \sigma_\zeta({}^t\xi(u), v) = \sigma_\varepsilon(u, \xi(v)) \quad (u \in U_\varepsilon(\Delta'), v \in \dot{V}_\zeta(\Delta)).$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(4.7) \quad & {}^t\xi(a_{\beta'_N}^{n_N} \cdots a_{\beta'_1}^{n_1} k_\mu S(b_{\beta'_N}^{n'_N} \cdots b_{\beta'_1}^{n'_1})) \\ &= c_{\beta_1}^{n_1+n'_1} \cdots c_{\beta_N}^{n_N+n'_N} a_{\beta_N}^{r_{\beta_N} n_N} \cdots a_{\beta_1}^{r_{\beta_1} n_1} k_\mu S(b_{\beta_N}^{r_{\beta_N} n'_N} \cdots b_{\beta_1}^{r_{\beta_1} n'_1}) \\ & \quad (\mu \in P', n_1, \dots, n_N, n'_1, \dots, n'_N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$c_\beta = (-1)^{r_\beta+1} \zeta_\beta^{-r_\beta(r_\beta-1)/2} \quad (\beta \in \Delta^+).$$

PROOF. It is easily seen from (2.10) that there exists uniquely a linear map ${}^t\xi : U_\varepsilon(\Delta') \rightarrow U_\zeta(\Delta)$ satisfying (4.6), and it is given by (4.7). Then we conclude from Proposition 2.2 that ${}^t\xi$ is a homomorphism of coalgebras. $\square$

Similarly we have the following.

PROPOSITION 4.2. *We have ${}^t\xi({}^eU_\varepsilon(\Delta')) \subset {}^eU_\zeta(\Delta)$, and*

$$\omega_\zeta({}^t\xi(u), x) = \omega_\varepsilon(u, \text{Fr}(x)) \quad (u \in {}^eU_\varepsilon(\Delta'), x \in \dot{U}_\zeta(\Delta)).$$

4.4. For $\beta \in \Delta$ we set $\eta_\beta = \zeta_\beta^{r_\beta}$. We have $\eta_\beta = \pm 1$, and $\eta_\beta = -1$ if and only ℓ_β is even.

PROPOSITION 4.3 (De Concini-Kac [4]). *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} a_\alpha^{r_\alpha} a_\beta &= \eta_\alpha^{(\alpha^\vee, \beta)} a_\beta a_\alpha^{r_\alpha}, & (Sb_\alpha^{r_\alpha})(Sb_\beta) &= \eta_\alpha^{(\alpha^\vee, \beta)} (Sb_\beta)(Sb_\alpha^{r_\alpha}) & (\alpha, \beta \in \Delta^+), \\ a_\alpha^{r_\alpha} (Sb_\beta) &= \eta_\alpha^{(\alpha^\vee, \beta)} (Sb_\beta) a_\alpha^{r_\alpha}, & (Sb_\alpha^{r_\alpha}) a_\beta &= \eta_\alpha^{(\alpha^\vee, \beta)} a_\beta (Sb_\alpha^{r_\alpha}) & (\alpha, \beta \in \Delta^+), \\ k_\lambda a_\alpha^{r_\alpha} &= \eta_\alpha^{(\lambda, \alpha^\vee)} a_\alpha^{r_\alpha} k_\lambda, & k_\lambda (Sb_\alpha^{r_\alpha}) &= \eta_\alpha^{(\lambda, \alpha^\vee)} (Sb_\alpha^{r_\alpha}) k_\lambda & (\lambda \in P, \alpha \in \Delta), \\ k_\mu a_\alpha &= \eta_\alpha^{(\mu, \alpha^\vee)/r_\alpha} a_\alpha k_\mu, & k_\mu (Sb_\alpha) &= \eta_\alpha^{(\mu, \alpha^\vee)/r_\alpha} (Sb_\alpha) k_\mu & (\mu \in P', \alpha \in \Delta). \end{aligned}$$

in $U_\zeta(\Delta)$.

PROPOSITION 4.4. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\alpha'} a_{\beta'} &= \varepsilon_{\alpha'}^{((\alpha')^\vee, \beta')} a_{\beta'} a_{\alpha'}, & (Sb_{\alpha'})(Sb_{\beta'}) &= \varepsilon_{\alpha'}^{((\alpha')^\vee, \beta')} (Sb_{\beta'})(Sb_{\alpha'}) & (\alpha', \beta' \in (\Delta')^+), \\ a_{\alpha'} (Sb_{\beta'}) &= \varepsilon_{\alpha'}^{((\alpha')^\vee, \beta')} (Sb_{\beta'}) a_{\alpha'}, & (Sb_{\alpha'}) a_{\beta'} &= \varepsilon_{\alpha'}^{((\alpha')^\vee, \beta')} a_{\beta'} (Sb_{\alpha'}) & (\alpha', \beta' \in (\Delta')^+), \\ k_\mu a_{\alpha'} &= \varepsilon_{\alpha'}^{(\mu, (\alpha')^\vee)} a_{\alpha'} k_\mu, & k_\mu (Sb_{\alpha'}) &= \varepsilon_{\alpha'}^{(\mu, (\alpha')^\vee)} (Sb_{\alpha'}) k_\mu & (\mu \in P', \alpha' \in (\Delta')^+) \end{aligned}$$

in $U_\varepsilon(\Delta')$.

We see easily from Proposition 4.3, Proposition 4.4 the following.

PROPOSITION 4.5. ${}^t\xi$ is a homomorphism of Hopf algebras.

4.5. We call $Z_{\text{Fr}}(U_\zeta) = \text{Im}({}^t\xi) \cap Z(U_\zeta)$ the Frobenius center of U_ζ . Note

$$\text{Im}({}^t\xi) \cong \left(\bigotimes_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \mathbb{C}[a_\alpha^{r_\alpha}, Sb_\alpha^{r_\alpha}] \right) \otimes \mathbb{C}[P'].$$

Namely, the image of ${}^t\xi$ consists of the linear combinations of the monomials of the form

$$(4.8) \quad z = a_{\beta_1}^{r_{\beta_1} m_{\beta_1}} \cdots a_{\beta_N}^{r_{\beta_N} m_{\beta_N}} k_\mu (Sb_{\beta_1}^{r_{\beta_1} m'_{\beta_1}}) \cdots (Sb_{\beta_N}^{r_{\beta_N} m'_{\beta_N}}) \quad (\mu \in P').$$

If ℓ is odd, then we have $\eta_\alpha = 1$ for any $\alpha \in \Delta^+$, and hence $Z_{\text{Fr}}(U_\zeta) = \text{Im}({}^t\xi)$ by Proposition 4.3.

Assume ℓ is even. By Proposition 4.3 we see easily that $Z_{\text{Fr}}(U_\zeta)$ consists of the linear combinations of the monomials of the form (4.8) satisfying

$$(4.9) \quad \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_1^+} (m_\alpha + m'_\alpha) \alpha^\vee \in 2Q^\vee,$$

$$(4.10) \quad (\mu, \gamma^\vee)/r_\gamma \in 2\mathbb{Z} \quad (\forall \gamma \in \Delta_1^+),$$

where

$$\Delta_1^+ = \{\alpha \in \Delta^+ \mid \eta_\alpha = -1\} = \begin{cases} \Delta_{\text{short}} \cap \Delta^+ & (r \notin 2\mathbb{Z}, \ell = 2r, d = 2) \\ \Delta^+ & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

Note that (4.10) is equivalent to $\mu \in P''$, where

$$(4.11) \quad P'' = \begin{cases} 2P'_0 & (r \notin 2\mathbb{Z}, \ell = 2r, d = 2) \\ 2P' & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

Here

$$P'_0 = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Q}}^* \mid d_{\alpha}(\lambda, \alpha^{\vee}) \in r\mathbb{Z} \quad (\alpha \in \Delta)\}.$$

Define subgroups Γ_1 and Γ_2 of $\mathcal{G}(\Delta')$ and $\mathcal{H}(\Delta')$ respectively by

$$\Gamma_1 = \begin{cases} \{1\} & (\ell \notin 2\mathbb{Z}) \\ \mathcal{G}(\Delta') & (\ell \in 2\mathbb{Z}), \end{cases}$$

$$\Gamma_2 = \begin{cases} \{1\} & (\ell \notin 2\mathbb{Z}) \\ (Q')_{\text{short}}^{\vee} / 2(Q')_{\text{short}}^{\vee} & (\ell \in 2\mathbb{Z}, r \notin 2\mathbb{Z}, d = 2) \\ \mathcal{H}(\Delta') & (\text{otherwise}), \end{cases}$$

where

$$(Q')_{\text{short}}^{\vee} = \sum_{\alpha' \in (\Delta')_{\text{short}}} \mathbb{Z}(\alpha')^{\vee}.$$

Set

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2.$$

By the above argument we have the following.

PROPOSITION 4.6. *Under the identification $\text{Im}({}^t\xi) \cong U_{\varepsilon}(\Delta')$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\text{Fr}}(U_{\zeta}(\Delta)) &\cong (U_{\varepsilon}^+(\Delta') \otimes SU_{\varepsilon}^-(\Delta'))^{\Gamma_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}[P''] \\ &= (U_{\varepsilon}^+(\Delta') \otimes SU_{\varepsilon}^-(\Delta'))^{\Gamma_1} \otimes U_{\varepsilon}^0(\Delta')^{\Gamma_2} \\ &= U_{\varepsilon}(\Delta')^{\Gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 4.7. *We have an isomorphism*

$$(4.12) \quad Z_{\text{Fr}}(U_{\zeta}(\Delta)) \cong \mathbb{C}[K(\Delta')]^{\Gamma} (= \mathbb{C}[K(\Delta')/\Gamma])$$

of algebras.

PROOF. Assume $\varepsilon = 1$. Then the action of the group Γ on the algebra $U_1(\Delta')$ induces the action of Γ on the algebraic variety $K(\Delta')$ via the algebra isomorphism (2.13) for Δ' , and hence we have $U_1(\Delta')^{\Gamma} \cong \mathbb{C}[K(\Delta')]^{\Gamma} \cong \mathbb{C}[K(\Delta')/\Gamma]$. Assume $\varepsilon = -1$. In this case we have $U_{-1}(\Delta')^{\Gamma} \cong U_1(\Delta')^{\Gamma}$ by Proposition 2.8. Hence we have also $U_{-1}(\Delta')^{\Gamma} \cong \mathbb{C}[K(\Delta')]^{\Gamma} \cong \mathbb{C}[K(\Delta')/\Gamma]$. $\square$

By Proposition 4.6 and [7] we obtain the following.

COROLLARY 4.8. *$Z_{\text{Fr}}(U_{\zeta})$ is Cohen-Macaulay.*

5. MAIN RESULT

Since the action $\circ_\varepsilon$ of W on $\mathbb{C}[2P']$ is the ordinary one, we have

$$(5.1) \quad Z_{\text{Har}}(U_\varepsilon(\Delta')) \cong \mathbb{C}[2P']^W \cong \mathbb{C}[P]^W \cong \mathbb{C}[H(\Delta')/W],$$

where the second isomorphism is induced by $\mathbb{C}[P'] \cong \mathbb{C}[2P']$ ($e(\lambda) \leftrightarrow e(2\lambda)$). Similarly, we have

$$(5.2) \quad Z_{\text{Har}}(U_\zeta(\Delta)) \cong \mathbb{C}[H(\Delta)/W].$$

Note that the action of W on $H(\Delta')$ in (5.1) is the ordinary one, while that on $H(\Delta)$ in (5.2) is the twisted one given by

$$w : h \mapsto w(h_1 h) h_1^{-1} \quad (w \in W, h \in H(\Delta)),$$

where $h_1 \in H(\Delta)$ is given by $\lambda(h_1) = \zeta^{2(\lambda, \tilde{\rho})}$ ($\lambda \in P = \text{Hom}(H(\Delta), \mathbb{C}^\times)$).

PROPOSITION 5.1. *We have*

$$Z_{\text{Fr}}(U_\zeta(\Delta)) \cap Z_{\text{Har}}(U_\zeta(\Delta)) = {}^t\xi(Z_{\text{Har}}(U_\varepsilon(\Delta'))),$$

and hence

$$(5.3) \quad Z_{\text{Fr}}(U_\zeta(\Delta)) \cap Z_{\text{Har}}(U_\zeta(\Delta)) \cong \mathbb{C}[H(\Delta')/W].$$

PROOF. Note that $Z_{\text{Har}}(U_\varepsilon(\Delta'))$ is spanned by $\{t_{L_\varepsilon(\lambda)}\}_{\lambda \in (P')^+}$. By Proposition 4.2 we have ${}^t\xi(t_{L_\varepsilon(\lambda)}) = t_{\text{Fr}^* L_\varepsilon(\lambda)}$, where $\text{Fr}^* L_\varepsilon(\lambda)$ is the $\dot{U}_\zeta(\Delta)$ -module induced via $\text{Fr} : \dot{U}_\zeta(\Delta) \rightarrow \dot{U}_\varepsilon(\Delta')$. Hence we have

$$Z_{\text{Fr}}(U_\zeta(\Delta)) \cap Z_{\text{Har}}(U_\zeta(\Delta)) \supset {}^t\xi(Z_{\text{Har}}(U_\varepsilon(\Delta'))),$$

and $\iota_\zeta({}^t\xi(Z_{\text{Har}}(U_\varepsilon(\Delta')))) = \mathbb{C}[2P']^W$. On the other hand by Proposition 4.6 we have $\iota_\zeta(Z_{\text{Fr}}(U_\zeta(\Delta)) \cap Z_{\text{Har}}(U_\zeta(\Delta))) \subset \mathbb{C}[2P]^{W \circ \zeta} \cap \mathbb{C}[P''] = \mathbb{C}[2P']^W$. $\square$

By the definition of the Harish-Chandra isomorphism we have the following.

PROPOSITION 5.2. *The morphism $H(\Delta)/W \rightarrow H(\Delta')/W$, which is associated to the inclusion $Z_{\text{Fr}}(U_\zeta(\Delta)) \cap Z_{\text{Har}}(U_\zeta(\Delta)) \subset Z_{\text{Har}}(U_\zeta(\Delta))$ together with the isomorphisms (5.2) and (5.3), is the natural one induced from the canonical morphism $H(\Delta) \rightarrow H(\Delta')$ associated to the embedding $P' \subset P$.*

Note that we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
Z_{\text{Fr}}(U_{\zeta}(\Delta)) \cap Z_{\text{Har}}(U_{\zeta}(\Delta)) & \longrightarrow & Z_{\text{Fr}}(U_{\zeta}(\Delta)) & & \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
Z_{\text{Har}}(U_{\varepsilon}(\Delta')) & \longrightarrow & U_{\varepsilon}(\Delta')^{\Gamma} & & \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
Z_{\text{Har}}(U_1(\Delta')) & \longrightarrow & U_1(\Delta')^{\Gamma} & \longrightarrow & U_1(\Delta') \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\mathbb{C}[H(\Delta')/W] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}[K(\Delta')/\Gamma] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}[K(\Delta')]
\end{array}$$

where horizontal arrows are inclusions, and vertical arrows are isomorphisms. Note also that the inclusion $\mathbb{C}[H(\Delta')/W] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[K(\Delta')]$ is induced by $v \circ \kappa$, where $\kappa : K(\Delta') \rightarrow G(\Delta')$ and $v : G(\Delta') \rightarrow H(\Delta')/W$ are morphisms of algebraic varieties we have already defined. Hence we have the following.

PROPOSITION 5.3. *The morphism $K(\Delta')/\Gamma \rightarrow H(\Delta')/W$, which is associated to the inclusion $Z_{\text{Fr}}(U_{\zeta}(\Delta)) \cap Z_{\text{Har}}(U_{\zeta}(\Delta)) \subset Z_{\text{Fr}}(U_{\zeta}(\Delta))$ together with the isomorphisms (4.12) and (5.3), is induced by $v \circ \kappa : K(\Delta') \rightarrow H(\Delta')/W$.*

The main result of this paper is the following.

THEOREM 5.4. *The natural homomorphism*

$$Z_{\text{Fr}}(U_{\zeta}) \otimes_{Z_{\text{Fr}}(U_{\zeta}) \cap Z_{\text{Har}}(U_{\zeta})} Z_{\text{Har}}(U_{\zeta}) \rightarrow Z(U_{\zeta})$$

is an isomorphism. In particular, we have

$$Z(U_{\zeta}) \cong \mathbb{C}[(K(\Delta')/\Gamma) \times_{H(\Delta')/W} (H(\Delta)/W)].$$

The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem 5.4. The arguments below mostly follow that in De Concini-Procesi [5]. We set for simplicity

$$\begin{aligned}
Z &= Z(U_{\zeta}), \\
Z_{\text{Fr}} &= Z_{\text{Fr}}(U_{\zeta}) \cong \mathbb{C}[K(\Delta')/\Gamma], \\
Z_{\text{Har}} &= Z_{\text{Har}}(U_{\zeta}) \cong \mathbb{C}[H(\Delta)/W],
\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$Z_{\text{Fr}} \cap Z_{\text{Har}} \cong \mathbb{C}[H(\Delta')/W].$$

We are going to show that the canonical homomorphism

$$j : Z_{\text{Fr}} \otimes_{Z_{\text{Fr}} \cap Z_{\text{Har}}} Z_{\text{Har}} \rightarrow Z$$

is an isomorphism.

PROPOSITION 5.5. *$Z_{\text{Fr}} \otimes_{Z_{\text{Fr}} \cap Z_{\text{Har}}} Z_{\text{Har}}$ is a normal domain.*

PROOF. By Serre's criterion it is sufficient to show that the scheme $(K(\Delta')/\Gamma) \times_{H(\Delta')/W} (H(\Delta)/W)$ is smooth in codimension one and Cohen-Macaulay.

We first show that $(K(\Delta')/\Gamma) \times_{H(\Delta')/W} (H(\Delta)/W)$ is smooth in codimension one. Since $H(\Delta)/W$ is smooth and $H(\Delta)/W \rightarrow H(\Delta')/W$ is a finite morphism, it is sufficient to show that there exists a subvariety X of $K(\Delta')/\Gamma$ with codimension greater than one such that $(K(\Delta')/\Gamma) \setminus X \rightarrow H(\Delta')/W$ is smooth. Consider first $K(\Delta') \rightarrow H(\Delta')/W$. Then there exists a subvariety X_1 of $K(\Delta')$ with codimension greater than one such that $K(\Delta') \setminus X_1 \rightarrow H(\Delta')/W$ is smooth since a similar result is known to hold for $G(\Delta') \rightarrow H(\Delta')/W$ and $K(\Delta') \rightarrow G(\Delta')$ is smooth. Hence it is sufficient to show that there exists a subvariety X_2 of $K(\Delta')$ with codimension greater than one such that $K(\Delta') \setminus X_2 \rightarrow (K(\Delta')/\Gamma)$ is smooth since $K(\Delta') \rightarrow (K(\Delta')/\Gamma)$ is a finite morphism. We may assume $\Gamma \neq \{1\}$. In this case we have

$$K(\Delta') = Y \times \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[P'], \quad K(\Delta')/\Gamma = Y^{P'} \times \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[P''],$$

where $Y = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \mathbb{C}^2$ and the action of P' on Y is given by

$$\lambda : (x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \mapsto ((-1)^{d_{\alpha'}(\lambda, (\alpha')^\vee)} x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \quad (\lambda \in P', x_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^2).$$

Since $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[P'] \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[P'']$ is smooth, it is sufficient to show that there exists a subvariety Z of Y with codimension greater than one such that $Y \setminus Z \rightarrow Y^{P'}$ is smooth. Note that the obvious action of $\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ on Y commutes with the action of P' . Hence $Y \rightarrow Y^{P'}$ is smooth on the open orbit $Y_0 = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} (\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\})$. Our claim is a consequence of $\dim(Y \setminus Y_0) \leq \dim Y - 2$.

Let us show that $Z_{\text{Fr}}(U_\zeta) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[2P']^W} \mathbb{C}[2P]^{W^\circ_\zeta}$ is Cohen-Macaulay. By [15] $\mathbb{C}[2P']^W$ and $\mathbb{C}[2P]^{W^\circ_\zeta}$ are both isomorphic to the polynomial ring in $|I|$ -variables. Hence we have

$$Z_{\text{Fr}}(U_\zeta) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[2P']^W} \mathbb{C}[2P]^{W^\circ_\zeta} \cong Z_{\text{Fr}}(U_\zeta)[X_1, \dots, X_{|I|}]/(f_1, \dots, f_{|I|})$$

for some $f_1, \dots, f_{|I|} \in Z_{\text{Fr}}(U_\zeta)[X_1, \dots, X_{|I|}]$. Moreover, we have obviously $\dim Z_{\text{Fr}}(U_\zeta) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[2P']^W} \mathbb{C}[2P]^{W^\circ_\zeta} = \dim Z_{\text{Fr}}(U_\zeta)$. Hence our claim is a consequence of Corollary 4.8 and well-known results on Cohen-Macaulay rings. $\square$

LEMMA 5.6. $Z_{\text{Fr}} \otimes_{Z_{\text{Fr}} \cap Z_{\text{Har}}} Z_{\text{Har}}$ is a free Z_{Fr} -module of rank P/P' .

PROOF. It is sufficient to show that Z_{Har} is a free $Z_{\text{Fr}} \cap Z_{\text{Har}}$ -module of rank P/P' . Namely, we have only to show that $\mathbb{C}[2P]^{W^\circ_\zeta}$ is a free $\mathbb{C}[2P']^W$ -module of rank P/P' . We may replace $\mathbb{C}[2P]^{W^\circ_\zeta}$ with $\mathbb{C}[2P]^W$ by applying an automorphism of $\mathbb{C}[P]$ which sends $\mathbb{C}[2P]^{W^\circ_\zeta}$ and $\mathbb{C}[2P']^W$ to $\mathbb{C}[2P]^W$ and $\mathbb{C}[2P']^W$ respectively. By Steinberg [15] $\mathbb{C}[2P]$ (resp. $\mathbb{C}[2P']$) is a free $\mathbb{C}[2P]^W$ -module (resp. $\mathbb{C}[2P']^W$ -module) of rank $|W|$. Since $\mathbb{C}[2P]$ is a free $\mathbb{C}[2P']$ -module of rank $|P/P'|$, $\mathbb{C}[2P]$ is a free $\mathbb{C}[2P']^W$ -module of rank $|W| \times |P/P'|$. Note that $\mathbb{C}[2P]^W$ is

a direct summand of the free $\mathbb{C}[2P]^W$ -module $\mathbb{C}[2P]$ by [15]. Hence $\mathbb{C}[2P]^W$ is also a direct summand of the free $\mathbb{C}[2P']^W$ -module $\mathbb{C}[2P]$. It follows that $\mathbb{C}[2P]^W$ is a projective $\mathbb{C}[2P']^W$ -module of rank $|P/P'|$. Since $\mathbb{C}[2P']^W$ is isomorphic to a polynomial ring by [15], we conclude from the Serre conjecture that $\mathbb{C}[2P]^W$ is a free $\mathbb{C}[2P']^W$ -module of rank P/P' . $\square$

Set

$$m = \begin{cases} 1 & (\ell \notin 2\mathbb{Z}) \\ 2^{|\Delta_{\text{short}} \cap \Pi|} & (\ell \in 2\mathbb{Z}, r \notin 2\mathbb{Z}, d = 2) \\ 2^{|\Pi|} & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 5.7. *U_ζ is a free Z_{Fr} -module of rank $(m \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} r_\alpha)^2 \times |P/P'|$.*

PROOF. Denote by C the image of ${}^t\xi : U_\varepsilon(\Delta') \rightarrow U_\zeta(\Delta)$. Then we have

$$Z_{\text{Fr}} \subset C \subset U_\zeta.$$

U_ζ is a free C -module of rank $(\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} r_\alpha)^2 \times |P/P'|$, and C is a free Z_{Fr} -module of rank m^2 . $\square$

In general let R be $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra. Assume that R is prime (i.e. $x, y \in R$, $xRy = \{0\}$ implies $x = 0$ or $y = 0$), and is finitely generated as a $Z(R)$ -module. Then $Q(Z(R)) \otimes_{Z(R)} R$ is a finite-dimensional central simple algebra over the field $Q(Z(R))$. Here, for a commutative domain S we denote by $Q(S)$ the quotient field. Hence $\overline{Q(Z(R))} \otimes_{Z(R)} R$ is isomorphic to the matrix algebra $M_n(\overline{Q(Z(R))})$ for some n , where $\overline{Q(Z(R))}$ denotes the algebraic closure of $Q(Z(R))$. Then this n is called the degree of R . Namely, the degree n of R is given by

$$\dim_{Q(Z(R))} Q(Z(R)) \otimes_{Z(R)} R = n^2.$$

Note that U_ζ is a finitely generated $Z(U_\zeta)$ -module by Lemma 5.7. In [4] De Concini-Kac have shown that U_ζ has no zero divisors using a certain degeneration $\text{Gr } U_\zeta$ of U_ζ . In particular, it is a prime algebra. Hence we have the notion of the degree of U_ζ . In [5] De Concini-Procesi proved that the degree of U_ζ is less than or equal to that of $\text{Gr } U_\zeta$. They have also shown that the degree of $\text{Gr } U_\zeta$ can be computed from the elementary divisors of a certain matrix with integral coefficients. The actual computation of the elementary divisors was done in [5] when ℓ is odd, and in Beck [2] in the remaining cases. From these results we have the following.

PROPOSITION 5.8. *We have*

$$\dim_{Q(Z)} Q(Z) \otimes_Z U_\zeta \leq \left(m \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} r_\alpha \right)^2.$$

Let us show that j is injective. By Proposition 5.5 $Z_{\text{Fr}} \otimes_{Z_{\text{Fr}} \cap Z_{\text{Har}}} Z_{\text{Har}}$ is a domain. Note also that Z is a domain since U_ζ has no zero divisors. Hence we have only to show that

$$j^* : \text{Spec } Z \rightarrow \text{Spec } Z_{\text{Fr}} \otimes_{Z_{\text{Fr}} \cap Z_{\text{Har}}} Z_{\text{Har}}$$

has a dense image. Consider the embedding $j' : Z_{\text{Fr}} \rightarrow Z$. Since j' is injective, $(j')^* : \text{Spec } Z \rightarrow \text{Spec } Z_{\text{Fr}}$ has a dense image. Note that $(j')^*$ is the composite of j^* with the natural morphism

$$\varphi : \text{Spec } Z_{\text{Fr}} \otimes_{Z_{\text{Fr}} \cap Z_{\text{Har}}} Z_{\text{Har}} \rightarrow \text{Spec } Z_{\text{Fr}}.$$

Since $\text{Spec } Z_{\text{Fr}} \otimes_{Z_{\text{Fr}} \cap Z_{\text{Har}}} Z_{\text{Har}}$ is irreducible and φ is a finite morphism by Lemma 5.6, we conclude that j^* must have a dense image. The injectivity of j is verified.

Set for simplicity

$$Z' = Z_{\text{Fr}} \otimes_{Z_{\text{Fr}} \cap Z_{\text{Har}}} Z_{\text{Har}}.$$

Then we have

$$Z_{\text{Fr}} \subset Z' \subset Z \subset U_\zeta.$$

We need to show $Z' = Z$.

Assume that

$$(5.4) \quad Q(Z) = Q(Z')$$

holds. Since U_ζ is a finitely generated Z_{Fr} -module, Z is a finitely generated Z' -module. It follows that $Z = Z'$ by Proposition 5.5. Hence it is sufficient to show (5.4).

Since Z' is a free Z_{Fr} -module of rank $|P/P'|$, we have $[Q(Z') : Q(Z_{\text{Fr}})] \geq |P/P'|$. Hence it is sufficient to show

$$(5.5) \quad [Q(Z) : Q(Z_{\text{Fr}})] \leq |P/P'|.$$

By Lemma 5.7 we have

$$\dim_{Q(Z_{\text{Fr}})} Q(Z_{\text{Fr}}) \otimes_{Z_{\text{Fr}}} U_\zeta = \left(m \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} r_\alpha \right)^2 \times |P/P'|.$$

Note that we have $Q(Z_{\text{Fr}}) \otimes_{Z_{\text{Fr}}} Z \cong Q(Z)$ since Z is a finitely generated Z_{Fr} -module. Hence

$$Q(Z_{\text{Fr}}) \otimes_{Z_{\text{Fr}}} U_\zeta \cong Q(Z_{\text{Fr}}) \otimes_{Z_{\text{Fr}}} Z \otimes_Z U_\zeta \cong Q(Z) \otimes_Z U_\zeta.$$

Hence we obtain (5.5) by Proposition 5.8. The proof of Theorem 5.4 is complete.

COROLLARY 5.9. *The degree of U_ζ is equal to $m \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} r_\alpha$.*

REMARK 5.10. Corollary 5.9 was conjectured in De Concini-Kac [4]. It was proved by De Concini-Procesi [5] in the case ℓ is odd and by Beck [2] in the case ℓ is divided by $4d$.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. H. Andersen, *Quantum groups at roots of ± 1* , Comm. Algebra **24** (1996), 3269–3282.
- [2] J. Beck, *Representations of quantum groups at even roots of unity*, J. Algebra, **167** (1994), 29–56.
- [3] C. De Concini, *Poisson algebraic groups and representations of quantum groups at roots of 1*, pp. 93–119 in: First European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. I (Paris, 1992), Progr. Math., **119**, Boston etc. Birkhäuser, 1994.
- [4] C. De Concini, V. Kac, *Representations of quantum groups at roots of 1*, pp. 471–506 in: A. Connes et al. (eds.), Operator Algebras, Unitary Representations, Enveloping Algebras, and Invariant Theory (Colloque Dixmier), Proc. Paris 1989, Progr. Math., **92**, Boston etc. Birkhäuser, 1990.
- [5] C. De Concini, C. Procesi, *Quantum groups*, pp. 31–140 in: L. Boutet de Monvel et al., *D-modules, Representation Theory and Quantum Groups*, Proc. Venezia 1992, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1565**, Berlin etc. Springer, 1993.
- [6] F. Gavarini, *Quantization of Poisson groups*, Pacific J. Math. **186** (1998), 217–266.
- [7] M. Hochster and John A. Eagon, *Cohen-Macaulay rings, invariant theory, and the generic perfection of determinantal loci*, Amer. J. Math., **93** (1971), 1020–1058.
- [8] A. Joseph, G. Letzter, *Local finiteness of the adjoint action for quantized enveloping algebras*, J. Algebra, **153** (1992), 289–318.
- [9] S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, S.-J. Oh, *Supercategorification of quantum Kac-Moody algebras II*, arXiv:1303.1916.
- [10] A. N. Kirillov, N. Reshetikhin, *q -Weyl group and a multiplicative formula for universal R -matrices*, Comm. Math. Phys. **134** (1990), 421–431.
- [11] S. M. Khoroshkin, V. N. Tolstoy, *Universal R -matrix for quantized (super)algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **141** (1991), 599–617.
- [12] G. Lusztig, *Quantum groups at roots of 1*, Geom. Dedicata **35** (1990), 89–114.
- [13] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to quantum groups*, Progr. Math., **110**, Boston etc. Birkhäuser, 1993.
- [14] S. Z. Levendorskii, Ya. S. Soibelman, *Quantum Weyl group and multiplicative formula for the R -matrix of a simple Lie algebra*, Funct. Analysis and its appl. **25** (1991), 143–145.
- [15] R. Steinberg, *On a theorem of Pittie*, Topology **14** (1975), 173–177.
- [16] T. Tanisaki, *Killing forms, Harish-Chandra isomorphisms, and universal R -matrices for quantum algebras*, Inter. J. Mod. Phys. **A7**, Suppl. 1B (1992), 941–961.
- [17] T. Tanisaki, *Manin triples and differential operators on quantum groups*, to appear in Tokyo J. Math.